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Basic Cryptography Network Security

## Objectives

- Understand/explain/employ the basic cryptographic techniques
- Review the basic number theory used in cryptosystems
- Classical system
- Public-key system
- Some crypto analysis
- Message digest


## Secure Information Transmission (network security model)



## Security of Information Systems (Network access model)

Opponent

- hackers
- software


Gatekeeper - firewall or equivalent, password-based login
Internal Security Control - Access control, Logs, audits, virus scans etc.

## Issues in Network security

- Distribution of secret information to enable secure exchange of information
- Effect of communication protocols needs to be considered
- Encryption if used cleverly and correctly, can provide several of the security services
- Physical and logical placement of security mechanisms
- Countermeasures need to be considered


## Cryptology



## Elementary Number Theory

- Natural numbers $N=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$
- Whole numbers $\mathrm{W}=\{0,1,2,3, \ldots\}$
- Integers Z = \{...,-2,-1,0,1,2,3, ...\}
- Divisors
- A number $b$ is said to divide $a$ if $a=m b$ for some $m$ where $a, b, m \in Z$
- We write this as $b \mid a$


## Divisors

- Some common properties
- If $a \mid 1, a=+1$ or -1
- If $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$ then $a=+b$ or $-b$
- Any $b \in Z$ divides 0 if $b \neq 0$
- If $b \mid g$ and $b \mid h$ then $b \mid(m g+n h)$ where $b, m, n, g, h \in Z$
- Examples:
- The positive divisors of 42 are ?
- $3 \mid 6$ and $3|21=>3| 21 m+6 n$ for $m, n \in Z$


## Prime Numbers

- An integer $p$ is said to be a prime number if its only positive divisors are 1 and itself
- 2, 3, 7, 11, ..
- Any integer can be expressed as a unique product of prime numbers raised to positive integral powers
- Examples
- $7569=3 \times 3 \times 29 \times 29=3^{2} \times 29^{2}$
- $5886=2 \times 27 \times 109=2 \times 3^{3} \times 109$
- $4900=7^{2} \times 5^{2} \times 2^{2}$
- 100 = ?
- 250 = ?
- This process is called Prime Factorization


## Greatest common divisor (GCD)

- Definition: Greatest Common Divisor
- This is the largest divisor of both $a$ and $b$
- Given two integers $a$ and $b$, the positive integer $c$ is called their GCD or greatest common divisor if and only if
- $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$
- Any divisor of both $a$ and $b$ also divides $c$
- Notation: $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=c$
- Example: $\operatorname{gcd}(49,63)=$ ?


## Relatively Prime Numbers

- Two numbers are said to be relatively prime if their gcd is 1
- Example: 63 and 22 are relatively prime
- How do you determine if two numbers are relatively prime?
- Find their GCD or
- Find their prime factors
- If they do not have a common prime factor other than 1 , they are relatively prime
- Example: $63=9 \times 7=3^{2} \times 7$ and $22=11 \times 2$


## The modulo operation

- What is $27 \bmod 5$ ?
- Definition
- Let $a, r, m$ be integers and let $m>0$
- We write $a \equiv r$ mod $m$ if $m$ divides $r-a$ (or $a-r$ ) and $0 \leq r<m$
- $m$ is called ?
- $r$ is called ?
- Note: $a=m . q+r$; what is $q$ ?


## Modular Arithmetic

- We say that $a \equiv b \bmod m$ if $m \mid a-b$
- Read as: $a$ is congruent to $b$ modulo $m$
- $m$ is called the modulus
- Example: $27 \equiv 2 \bmod 5$
- Example: $27 \equiv 7 \bmod 5$ and $7 \equiv 2 \bmod 5$
- $a \equiv b \bmod m=>b \equiv a \bmod m$
- Example: $2 \equiv 27 \bmod 5$
- We usually consider the smallest positive remainder which is called the residue


## Modulo Operation

- The modulo operation "reduces" the infinite set of integers to a finite set
- Example: modulo 5 operation
- We have five sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - }\{\ldots,-10,-5,0,5,10, \ldots\}=>a \equiv 0 \bmod 5 \\
& -\{\ldots,-9,-4,1,6,11, \ldots\}=>a \equiv 1 \bmod 5 \\
& -\{\ldots,-8,-3,2,7,12, \ldots\}=>a \equiv 2 \bmod 5, \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

- The set of residues of integers modulo 5 has five elements $\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ and is denoted $Z_{5}$.


## Modulo Operation

- Properties
- $[(a \bmod n)+(b \bmod n)] \bmod n=(a+b) \bmod n$
- $[(a \bmod n)-(b \bmod n)] \bmod n=(a-b) \bmod n$
- $[(a \bmod n) \times(b \bmod n)] \bmod n=(a \times b) \bmod n$
- ( -1 ) $\bmod n=n-1$
- (Using $b=q . n+r$, with $b=-1, q=-1$ and $r=n-1$ )


## Brief History

- All encryption algorithms from BC till 1976 were secret key algorithms
- Also called private key algorithms or symmetric key algorithms
- Julius Caesar used a substitution cipher
- Widespread use in World War II (enigma)
- Public key algorithms were introduced in 1976 by Whitfield Diffie and Martin Hellman


## Cryptosystem

- $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}, C)$
- $\mathcal{E}$ set of encryption functions $e: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow C$
- $\mathcal{D}$ set of decryption functions $d: C \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{M}$ set of plaintexts
- $\mathcal{K}$ set of keys
- $C$ set of ciphertexts


## Example

- Cæsar cipher
- $\mathcal{M}=\{$ sequences of letters $\}$
- $\mathcal{K}=\{i \mid i$ is an integer and $0 \leq i \leq 25\}$
- $\mathcal{E}=\left\{E_{k} \mid k \in \mathcal{K}\right.$ and for all letters $m$, $\left.E_{k}(m)=(m+k) \bmod 26\right\}$
- $\mathcal{D}=\left\{D_{k} \mid k \in \mathcal{K}\right.$ and for all letters $c_{1}$

$$
\left.D_{k}(c)=(26+c-k) \bmod 26\right\}
$$

- $C=\mathcal{M}$


## Cæsar cipher

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - Let } k=9 \text {, m = "VELVET" (21 } 411214 \text { 19) } \\
& \text { - } E_{k}(m)=(301320301328) \bmod 26 \\
& \text { ="4 } 1320413 \text { 2" = "ENUENC" } \\
& \text { - } D_{k}(m)=(26+c-k) \bmod 26 \\
& =(213037213019) \bmod 26 \\
& \text { = "2141121419" = "VELVET" }
\end{aligned}
$$

| A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| N | O | P | Q | R | S | T | U | V | W | X | Y | Z |
| 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |

## Attacks

- Ciphertext only.
- adversary has only Y;
- goal ?
- Known plaintext.
- adversary has X, Y;
- goal ?
- Chosen plaintext.
- adversary gets a specific plaintext enciphered;
- goal ?


## Classical Cryptography



Key Source
Oscar

## Classical Cryptography

- Sender, receiver share common key
- Keys may be the same, or trivial to derive from one another
- Sometimes called symmetric cryptography
- Two basic types
- Transposition ciphers
- Substitution ciphers
- Product ciphers
- Combinations of the two basic types


## Classical Cryptography

- $y=E_{k}(x):$ Ciphertext $\rightarrow$ Encryption
- $x=D_{k}(y)$ : Plaintext $\rightarrow$ Decryption
- $k=$ encryption and decryption key
- The functions $E_{k}()$ and $D_{k}()$ must be inverses of one another
- $E_{k}\left(D_{k}(y)\right)=$ ?
- $D_{k}\left(E_{k}(x)\right)=$ ?
- $E_{k}\left(D_{k}(x)\right)=$ ?


## Transposition Cipher

- Rearrange letters in plaintext to produce ciphertext
- Example (Rail-Fence Cipher)
- Plaintext is "HELLO WORLD"
- Rearrange as

HLOOL<br>ELWRD

- Ciphertext is HLOOL ELWRD


## Attacking the Cipher

- Anagramming
- If 1-gram frequencies match English frequencies, but other $n$-gram frequencies do not, probably transposition
- Rearrange letters to form $n$-grams with highest frequencies


## Example

- Ciphertext: HLOOLELWRD
- Frequencies of 2-grams beginning with H
- HE 0.0305
- HO 0.0043
- HL, HW, HR, HD < 0.0010
- Frequencies of 2-grams ending in H
- WH 0.0026
- EH, LH, OH, RH, DH $\leq 0.0002$
- Implies E follows H


## Example

- Arrange so that H and E are adjacent

HE
LL
OW
OR
LD

- Read off across, then down, to get original plaintext


## Substitution Ciphers

- Change characters in plaintext to produce ciphertext
- Example (Cæsar cipher)
- Plaintext is hello world;
- Key is 3, usually written as letter ' $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$
- Ciphertext is KHOOR zRUOG


## Attacking the Cipher

- Brute Force: Exhaustive search
- If the key space is small enough, try all possible keys until you find the right one
- Cæsar cipher has 26 possible keys
- Statistical analysis
- Compare to 1-gram model of English


## Statistical Attack

- Ciphertext is KHOOR ZRUOG
- Compute frequency of each letter in ciphertext:
G 0.1 H 0.1 K $0.1 \quad \mathrm{O} 0.3$
R 0.2 U 0.1 Z 0.1
- Apply 1-gram model of English
- Frequency of characters (1-grams) in English is on next slide


## Character Frequencies (Denning)

| a | 0.080 | h | 0.060 | n | 0.070 | t | 0.090 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b | 0.015 | i | 0.065 | o | 0.080 | u | 0.030 |
| c | 0.030 | j | 0.005 | p | 0.020 | v | 0.010 |
| d | 0.040 | k | 0.005 | q | 0.002 | w | 0.015 |
| e | 0.130 | l | 0.035 | r | 0.065 | x | 0.005 |
| f | 0.020 | m | 0.030 | s | 0.060 | y | 0.020 |
| g | 0.015 |  |  |  |  | $z$ | 0.002 |

## Statistical Analysis

- $f(c)$ frequency of character $c$ in ciphertext
- $\varphi($ ) :
- correlation of frequency of letters in ciphertext with corresponding letters in English, assuming key is $i$
- $\varphi(I)=\Sigma_{0 \leq c \leq 25} f(c) p(c-l)$
- so here,

$$
\varphi(i)=0.1 p(6-i)+0.1 p(7-i)+0.1 p(10-i)+0.3 p(14
$$

$-i)+0.2 p(17-i)+0.1 p(20-i)+0.1 p(25-i)$

- $p(x)$ is frequency of character $x$ in English
- Look for maximum correlation!


## Correlation: $\varphi($ ) for $0 \leq i \leq 25$

| $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $\varphi(\boldsymbol{I})$ | $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $\varphi(\boldsymbol{l})$ | $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $\varphi(\boldsymbol{I})$ | $\boldsymbol{i}$ | $\varphi(\boldsymbol{l})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.0482 | 7 | 0.0442 | 13 | 0.0520 | 19 | 0.0315 |
| 1 | 0.0364 | 8 | 0.0202 | 14 | 0.0535 | 20 | 0.0302 |
| 2 | 0.0410 | 9 | 0.0267 | 15 | 0.0226 | 21 | 0.0517 |
| 3 | 0.0575 | 10 | 0.0635 | 16 | 0.0322 | 22 | 0.0380 |
| 4 | 0.0252 | 11 | 0.0262 | 17 | 0.0392 | 23 | 0.0370 |
| 5 | 0.0190 | 12 | 0.0325 | 18 | 0.0299 | 24 | 0.0316 |
| 6 | 0.0660 |  |  |  |  | 25 | 0.0430 |

## The Result

- Ciphertext is KHOOR ZRUOG
- Most probable keys, based on $\varphi$ :
- $i=6, \varphi(I)=0.0660$
- plaintext EBIIL TLOLA (How?)
- $i=10, \varphi(i)=0.0635$
- plaintext AXEEH PHKEW (How?)
- $i=3, \varphi(I)=0.0575$
- plaintext HELLO WORLD (How?)
- $i=14, \varphi(i)=0.0535$
- plaintext WTAAD LDGAS
- Only English phrase is for $i=3$
- That's the key (3 or 'D')


## Cæsar's Problem

- Key is too short
- Can be found by exhaustive search
- Statistical frequencies not concealed well
- They look too much like regular English letters
- So make it longer
- Multiple letters in key
- Idea is to smooth the statistical frequencies to make cryptanalysis harder


## Vigenère Cipher

- Like Cæsar cipher, but use a phrase
- Example
- Message THE BOY HAS THE BALL
- Key VIG
- Encipher using Cæsar cipher for each letter:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { key } & \text { VIGVIGVIGVIGVIGV } \\
\text { plain } & \text { THEBOYHASTHEBALL } \\
\text { cipher } & \text { OPKWWECIYOPKWIRG }
\end{array}
$$

## Relevant Parts of Tableau

|  | $G$ | $I$ | $V$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A$ | G | I | V |
| $B$ | H | J | W |
| $E$ | K | M | Z |
| $H$ | N | P | C |
| L | R | T | G |
| $O$ | U | W | J |
| $S$ | Y | A | N |
| $T$ | Z | B | O |
| $Y$ | E | H | T |

- Tableau with relevant rows, columns only
- Example encipherments:
- key V, letter T: follow V column down to T row (giving "O")
- Key I, letter H: follow I column down to H row (giving "P")


## Useful Terms

- period: length of key
- In earlier example, period is 3
- tableaur: table used to encipher and decipher
- Vigènere cipher has key letters on top, plaintext letters on the left
- polyalphabetic. the key has several different letters
- Cæsar cipher is monoalphabetic


## Attacking the Cipher

- Key to attacking vigenère cipher
- determine the key length
- If the keyword is $n$, then the cipher consists of $n$ monoalphabetic substitution ciphers

| key | VIGVIGVIGVIGVIGV |
| :--- | :--- |
| plain | THEBOYHASTHEBALL |
| cipher | OPKWWECIYOPKWIRG |

key DECEPTIVEDECEPTIVEDECEPTIVE
plain WEAREDISCOVEREDSAVEYOURSELF
cipher ZICVTWQNGRZGVTWAVZHCQYGLMGJ

## One-Time Pad

- A Vigenère cipher with a random key at least as long as the message
- Provably unbreakable; Why?
- Consider ciphertext DXQR. Equally likely to correspond to
- plaintext DOIT (key AJ IY) and
- plaintext DONT (key AJDY) and any other 4 letters
- Warning: keys must be random, or you can attack the cipher by trying to regenerate the key


## Overview of the DES

- A block cipher:
- encrypts blocks of 64 bits using a 64 bit key
- outputs 64 bits of ciphertext
- A product cipher
- performs both substitution and transposition (permutation) on the bits
- basic unit is the bit
- Cipher consists of 16 rounds (iterations) each with a round key generated from the user-supplied key

DES


## Encipherment



## The $f$ Function



## Controversy

- Considered too weak
- Design to break it using 1999 technology published
- Design decisions not public
- S-boxes may have backdoors
- Several other weaknesses found
- Mainly related to keys


## DES Modes

- Electronic Code Book Mode (ECB):
- Encipher each block independently
- Cipher Block Chaining Mode (CBC)
- XOR each block with previous ciphertext block
- Uses an initialization vector for the first one



## CBC Mode Decryption



- CBC has self healing property
- If one block of ciphertext is altered, the error propagates for at most two blocks


## Self-Healing Property

- Initial message
- 32313433363538373231343336353837 32313433363538373231343336353837
- Received as (underlined 4c should be 4b)
- ef7c4cb2b4ce6f3b f6266e3a97af0e2c 746ab9a6308f4256 33e60b451b09603d
- Which decrypts to
- $\frac{\text { efca61e19f4836f1 } 3231333336353837}{3231343336353837 ~} 3231343336353837$
- Incorrect bytes underlined; plaintext "heals" after 2 blocks


## Public Key Cryptography

- Two keys
- Private key known only to individual
- Public key available to anyone
- Idea
- Confidentiality:
- encipher using public key,
- decipher using private key
- Integrity/authentication:
- encipher using private key,
- decipher using public one


## Requirements

1. Given the appropriate key, it must be computationally easy to encipher or decipher a message
2. It must be computationally infeasible to derive the private key from the public key
3. It must be computationally infeasible to determine the private key from a chosen plaintext attack

## Diffie-Hellman

- Compute a common, shared key
- Called a symmetric key exchange protocol
- Based on discrete logarithm problem
- Given integers $n$ and $g$ and prime number $p$, compute $k$ such that $n=g^{k} \bmod p$
- Solutions known for small $p$
- Solutions computationally infeasible as $p$ grows large - hence, choose large $p$


## Algorithm

- Constants known to participants
- prime $p$; integer $g$ other than 0,1 or $p-1$
- Alice: $\left(\right.$ private $=K_{A}$, public $=K_{A}$ )
- Bob: $\left(\right.$ private $=K_{B}$, public $=K_{B}$ )
- $K_{A}=g^{k A} \bmod p$
- $K_{B}=g^{k B} \bmod p$
- To communicate with Bob,
- Alice computes $S_{A, B}=K_{B}{ }^{k A} \bmod p$
- To communicate with Alice,
- Bob computes $S_{B, A}=K_{A}{ }^{k B} \bmod p$
- $S_{A, B}=S_{B, A}$ ?


## Example

- Assume $p=53$ and $g=17$
- Alice chooses $k_{A}=5$
- Then $K_{A}=17^{5} \bmod 53=40$
- Bob chooses $k_{B}=7$
- Then $K_{B}=17^{7} \bmod 53=6$
- Shared key:
- $K_{B}{ }^{k A} \bmod p=65 \bmod 53=38$
- $K_{A}{ }^{k B} \bmod p=40^{7} \bmod 53=38$


## Exercise:

Let $\mathrm{p}=5, \mathrm{~g}=3$
$k_{A}=4, k_{B}=3$
$K_{A}=?, K_{B}=$ ?, $S=$ ?

## RSA

- Relies on the difficulty of determining the number of numbers relatively prime to a large integer $n$
- Totient function $\phi(\mathrm{n})$
- Number of + integers less than $n$ and relatively prime to $n$
- Example: $\phi(10)=4$
- What are the numbers relatively prime to 10 ?
- $\phi(77)$ ?
- $\phi(p)$ ? When $p$ is a prime number
- $\phi(\mathrm{pq})$ ? When p and q are prime numbers


## Algorithm

- Choose two large prime numbers $p, q$
- Let $n=p q$, then $\phi(n)=(p-1)(q-1)$
- Choose $e<n$ relatively prime to $\phi(n)$.
- Compute $d$ such that $e d \bmod \phi(n)=1$
- Public key: ( $e, n$ );
- private key: $d(\operatorname{or}(d, n))$
- Encipher: $c=m^{e} \bmod n$
- Decipher: $m=c^{d}$ mod $n$


## Confidentiality using RSA



## Authentication using RSA



## Confidentiality + Authentication



## Warnings

- Encipher message in blocks considerably larger than the examples here
- If 1 character per block, RSA can be broken using statistical attacks (just like classical cryptosystems)
- Attacker cannot alter letters, but can rearrange them and alter message meaning
- Example: reverse enciphered message: ON to get NO


## Cryptographic Checksums

- Mathematical function to generate a set of $k$ bits from a set of $n$ bits (where $k \leq n$ ).
- $k$ is smaller then $n$ except in unusual circumstances
- Keyed CC: requires a cryptographic key

$$
h=C_{\text {Kee }}(M)
$$

- Keyless CC: requires no cryptographic key
- Message Digest or One-way Hash Functions

$$
h=H(M)
$$

- Can be used for message authentication
- Hence, also called Message Authentication Code (MAC)


## Mathematical characteristics

- Every bit of the message digest function potentially influenced by every bit of the function's input
- If any given bit of the function's input is changed, every output bit has a 50 percent chance of changing
- Given an input file and its corresponding message digest, it should be computationally infeasible to find another file with the same message digest value


## Definition

- Cryptographic checksum function $h: A \rightarrow B$ :

1. For any $x \in A, h(x)$ is easy to compute

- Makes hardware/software implementation easy

2. For any $y \in B$, it is computationally infeasible to find $x \in A$ such that $h(x)=y$

- One-way property

3. It is computationally infeasible to find $x, x^{\prime} \in A$ such that $x \neq x^{\prime}$ and $h(x)=h\left(x^{\prime}\right)$
4. Alternate form: Given any $x \in A$, it is computationally infeasible to find a different $X^{\prime}$ $\in A$ such that $h(x)=h\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

## Collisions

- If $x \neq x^{\prime}$ and $h(x)=h\left(x^{\prime}\right), x$ and $x^{\prime}$ are a collision
- Pigeonhole principle: if there are $n$ containers for $n+1$ objects, then at least one container will have 2 objects in it.
- Application: suppose $n=5$ and $k=3$. Then there are 32 elements of $A$ and 8 elements of $B$, so
- each element of $B$ has at least 4 corresponding elements of $A$


## Keys

- Keyed cryptographic checksum: requires cryptographic key
- DES in chaining mode: encipher message, use last $n$ bits. Requires a key to encipher, so it is a keyed cryptographic checksum.
- Keyless cryptographic checksum: requires no cryptographic key
- MD5 and SHA-1 are best known; others include MD4, HAVAL, and Snefru


## Message Digest

- MD2, MD4, MD5 (Ronald Rivest)
- Produces 128-bit digest;
- MD2 is probably the most secure, longest to compute (hence rarely used)
- MD4 is a fast alternative; MD5 is modification of MD4
- SHA, SHA-1 (Secure Hash Algorithm)
- Related to MD4; used by NIST's Digital Signature
- Produces 160-bit digest
- SHA-1 may be better
- SHA-256, SHA-384, SHA-512
- 256-, 384-, 512 hash functions designed to be use with the Advanced Encryption Standards (AES)
- Example:
- MD5(There is $\$ 1500$ in the blue bo) = f80b3fde8ecbac1b515960b9058de7a1
- MD5(There is $\$ 1500$ in the blue box) $=a 4 a 5471 a 0 e 019 a 4 a 502134 d 38 f b 64729$


## Hash Message Authentication Code (HMAC)

- Make keyed cryptographic checksums from keyless cryptographic checksums
- $h$ be keyless cryptographic checksum function that takes data, in blocks of $b$ bytes and outputs blocks of $l$ bytes. $k$ ' is cryptographic key of length $b$ bytes (from $k$ )
- If short, pad with $0 s^{\prime}$ to make $b$ bytes; if long, hash to length $b$
- ipad is 00110110 repeated $b$ times
- opad is 01011100 repeated $b$ times
- $\operatorname{HMAC}-h(k, m)=h\left(k^{\prime} \oplus\right.$ opad \| $\left.h\left(k^{\prime} \oplus i p a d \| m\right)\right)$
- $\oplus$ exclusive or, II concatenation


## Protection Strength

- Unconditionally Secure
- Unlimited resources + unlimited time
- Still the plaintext CANNOT be recovered from the ciphertext
- Computationally Secure
- Cost of breaking a ciphertext exceeds the value of the hidden information
- The time taken to break the ciphertext exceeds the useful lifetime of the information


# Average time required for exhaustive key search 

| Key Size <br> (bits) | Number of <br> Alternative Keys | Time required at <br> $10^{6}$ Decryption $/ \mu$ s |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | $2^{32}=4.3 \times 10^{9}$ | 2.15 milliseconds |
| 56 | $2^{56}=7.2 \times 10^{16}$ | 10 hours |
| 128 | $2^{128}=3.4 \times 10^{38}$ | $5.4 \times 10^{18}$ years |
| 168 | $2^{168}=3.7 \times 10^{50}$ | $5.9 \times 10^{30}$ years |

## Key Points

- Two main types of cryptosystems: classical and public key
- Classical cryptosystems encipher and decipher using the same key
- Or one key is easily derived from the other
- Public key cryptosystems encipher and decipher using different keys
- Computationally infeasible to derive one from the other

