IS 2150 / TEL 2810 Information Security & Privacy



James Joshi Associate Professor, SIS

> Lecture 8 March 20, 2013

Authentication, Identity Vulnerability Analysis

Objectives

 Understand/explain the issues related to, and utilize the techniques

- Authentication and identification
- Vulnerability analysis/classification
 - Techniques
 - Taxonomy

Authentication and Identity

What is Authentication?

- Authentication:
 - Binding identity and external entity to subject
- How do we do it?
 - Entity knows something (secret)
 - Passwords, id numbers
 - Entity has something
 - Badge, smart card
 - Entity is something
 - Biometrics: fingerprints or retinal characteristics
 - Entity is in someplace
 - Source IP, restricted area terminal

Authentication System: Definition

- A: Set of authentication information
 - used by entities to prove their identities (e.g., password)
- C: Set of complementary information
 - used by system to validate authentication information (e.g., hash of a password or the password itself)
- *F*: Set of *complementation functions* (to generate *C*)
 - $f: A \to C$
 - Generate appropriate $c \in C$ given $a \in A$
- *L*: set of *authentication functions*
 - *I*: $A \times C \rightarrow \{$ true, false $\}$
 - verify identity
- *S*: set of *selection functions*
 - Generate/alter A and C
 - e.g., commands to change password

Authentication System: Passwords

Example: plaintext passwords

- $A = C = alphabet^*$
- *f* returns argument: *f*(*a*) returns *a*
- / is string equivalence: I(a, b) is true if a = b
- Complementation Function
 - Null (return the argument as above)
 - requires that *c* be protected; i.e. password file needs to be protected
 - One-way hash function such that
 - *Complementary information c = f(a)* easy to compute
 - *f*¹(*c*) difficult to compute

Passwords

- Example: Original Unix
 - A password is up to eight characters
 - each character could be one of 127 possible characters;
 - *A* contains approx. 6.9 x 10¹⁶ passwords
 - Password is hashed using one of 4096 functions into a 11 character string
 - 2 characters pre-pended to indicate the hash function used
 - C contains passwords of size 13 characters, each character from an alphabet of 64 characters
 - Approximately 3.0 x 10²³ strings
 - Stored in file /etc/passwd (all can read)

Authentication System

- Goal: identify the entities correctly
- Approaches to protecting
 - Hide enough information so that one of a, c or f cannot be found
 - Make C readable only to root
 - Make F unknown
 - Prevent access to the authentication functions L
 - root cannot log in over the network

Attacks on Passwords

- Dictionary attack: Trial and error guessing
 - Type 1: attacker knows A, F, C
 - Guess g and compute f(g) for each f in F
 - Type 2: attacker knows A, /
 - /returns **True** for guess *g*
- Counter: Difficulty based on |A|, Time
 - Probability P of breaking a password
 - *G* be the number of guesses that can be tested in one time unit
 - $|A| \geq TG/P$
 - Assumptions:
 - time constant; all passwords are equally likely

Password Selection

- Random
 - Depends on the quality of random number generator;
 - Size of legal passwords
 - 8 characters: humans can remember only one
- Pronounceable nonsense
 - Based on unit of sound (phoneme)
 - Easier to remember
- User selection (proactive selection)
 - Controls on allowable
 - At least 1 digit, 1 letter, 1 punctuation, 1 control character
 - Obscure poem verse

Password Selection

- Reusable Passwords susceptible to dictionary attack (type 1)
 - Salting can be used to increase effort needed
 - makes the choice of complementation function a function of randomly selected data
 - Random data is different for different user
 - Authentication function is chosen on the basis of the salt
 - Many Unix systems:
 - A salt is randomly chosen from 0..4095
 - Complementation function depends on the salt

Password Selection

Password aging

- Change password after some time: based on expected time to guess a password
- Disallow change to previous *n* passwords
- Fundamental problem is *reusability*
 - Replay attack is easy
 - Solution:
 - Authenticate in such a way that the transmitted password changes each time

Authentication Systems: Challenge-Response

Pass algorithm

- authenticator sends message m
- subject responds with f(m)
 - *f* is a secret encryption function
- Example: ask for second input based on some algorithm

Authentication Systems: Challenge-Response

- One-time password: *invalidated after use*
 - f changes after use
- S/Key uses a hash function (MD4/MD5)
 - User chooses an initial seed k
 - Key generator calculates
 - $k_1 = h(k), k_2 = h(k_1) \dots, k_n = h(k_{n-1})$
 - Passwords used in the order
 - $p_1 = k_n, p_2 = k_{n-1}, ..., p_n = k_1$
 - Suppose $p_1 = k_n$ is intercepted;
 - the next password is $p_2 = k_{n-1}$
 - Since $h(k_{n-1}) = k_n$, the attacker needs to invert h to determine the next password

Authentication Systems: Biometrics

- Used for human subject identification based on physical characteristics that are tough to copy
 - Fingerprint (optical scanning)
 - Camera's needed (bulky)
 - Voice
 - Speaker-verification (identity) or speaker-recognition (info content)
 - Iris/retina patterns (unique for each person)
 - Laser beaming is intrusive
 - Face recognition
 - Facial features can make this difficult
 - Keystroke interval/timing/pressure

Attacks on Biometrics

- Fake biometrics
 - fingerprint "mask"
 - copy keystroke pattern
- Fake the interaction between device and system
 - Replay attack
 - Requires careful design of entire authentication system



Vulnerability Analysis

Vulnerability Analysis

- Vulnerability or security flaw: specific failures of security controls (procedures, technology or management)
 - Errors in code
 - Human violators
 - Mismatch between assumptions
- Exploit: Use of vulnerability to violate policy
- Attacker: Attempts to exploit the vulnerability

Techniques for Detecting Vulnerabilities

- System Verification
 - Determine preconditions, post-conditions
 - Validate that system ensures post-conditions given preconditions

Can prove the absence of vulnerabilities

- Penetration testing
 - Start with system/environment characteristics
 - Try to find vulnerabilities

Can not prove the absence of vulnerabilities

Types/layers of Penetration Testing

- Black Box (External Attacker)
 - External attacker has no knowledge of target system
 - Attacks built on human element Social Engineering
- System access provided (External Attacker)
 - Red team provided with limited access to system
 - Goal is to gain normal or elevated access
- Internal attacker
 - Red team provided with authorized user access
 - Goal is to elevate privilege / violate policy

Red Team Approach Flaw Hypothesis Methodology:

- Information gathering
 - Examine design, environment, system functionality

Flaw does

Not exist

understanding

- Flaw hypothesis
 - Predict likely vulnerabilities
- Flaw testing
 - Determine where vulnerabilities exist Refine with new
- Flaw generalization
 - Attempt to broaden discovered flaws
- Flaw elimination (often not included)
 - Suggest means to eliminate flaw

Problems with Penetration Testing

- Nonrigorous
 - Dependent on insight (and whim) of testers
 - No good way of evaluating when "complete"
- How do we make it systematic?
 - Try all classes of likely flaws
 - But what are these?
- Vulnerability Classification!

Vulnerability Classification

- Goal: describe spectrum of possible flaws
 - Enables design to avoid flaws
 - Improves coverage of penetration testing
 - Helps design/develop intrusion detection
- How do we classify?
 - By how they are exploited?
 - By where they are found?
 - By the nature of the vulnerability?

Example flaw: xterm log

xterm runs as root

- Generates a log file
- Appends to log file if file exists
- Problem: In /etc/passwd log_file

Solution

}

if (access("log_file", W_OK) == 0)

- If ((fd = open("log_file", O_WRONLY|O_APPEND)) < 0) {
- error handling

What can go wrong?

Example: Finger Daemon (exploited by Morris worm)

- finger sends name to fingerd
 - *fingerd* allocates 512 byte buffer on stack
 - Places name in buffer
 - Retrieves information (local finger) and returns
- Problem: If name > 512 bytes, overwrites return address
- Exploit: Put code in "name", pointer to code in bytes 513+
 - Overwrites return address

RISOS:Research Into Secure Operating Systems (7 Classes)

- 1. Incomplete parameter validation
 - E.g., buffer overflow –
- 2. Inconsistent parameter validation
 - Different routines with different formats for same data
- 3. Implicit sharing of privileged / confidential data
 - OS fails to isolate processes and users
- 4. Asynchronous validation / inadequate serialization
 - Race conditions and TOCTTOU flaws
- 5. Inadequate identification / authentication / authorization
 - Trojan horse; accounts without passwords
- 6. Violable prohibition / limit
 - Improper handling of bounds conditions (e.g., in memory allocation)
- 7. Exploitable logic error
 - Incorrect error handling, incorrect resource allocations etc.

Protection Analysis Model Classes

- Pattern-directed protection evaluation
 - Methodology for finding vulnerabilities
- Applied to several operating systems
 - Discovered previously unknown vulnerabilities
- Resulted in two-level hierarchy of vulnerability classes
 - Ten classes in all

PA flaw classes

- 1. Improper protection domain initialization and enforcement
 - *a. domain*: Improper choice of initial protection domain
 - exposed representations: Improper isolation of implementation detail (Covert channels)
 - *c. consistency of data over time*: Improper change
 - *a. naming*: Improper naming (two objects with same name)
 - e. residuals: Improper deallocation or deletion
- 2. Improper validation *validation of operands, queue management dependencies*:
- 3. Improper synchronization
 - a. interrupted atomic operations: Improper indivisibility
 - *b. serialization*: Improper sequencing
- 4. Improper choice of operand or operation *critical operator selection errors*

NRL Taxonomy

Three classification schemes

- How did it enter
- When was it "created"
- Where is it



NRL Taxonomy (Genesis)

Inadvertent	Validation error (Incomplete/Inconsistent)
	Domain error (including object re-use, residuals, and exposed representation errors
	Serialization/aliasing (including TCTTOU errors)
	Boundary conditions violation (including resource exhaustion and violable constraint errors)
	Other exploitable logic error





Aslam's Model

- Attempts to classify faults unambiguously
 - Decision procedure to classify faults
- Coding Faults
 - Synchronization errors
 - Timing window
 - Improper serialization
 - Condition validation errors
 - Bounds not checked
 - Access rights ignored
 - Input not validated
 - Authentication / Identification failure

- Emergent Faults
 - Configuration errors
 - Wrong install location
 - Wrong configuration information
 - Wrong permissions
 - Environment Faults

Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (cve.mitre.org)

- Captures specific vulnerabilities
 - Standard name
 - Cross-reference to CERT, etc.
- Entry has three parts
 - Unique ID
 - Description
 - References

Name	CVE-1999-0965
Description	Race condition in xterm allows local users to modify arbitrary files via the logging option.

References

•CERT:CA-93.17 •XF:xterm